LmunA 2025

Research report

Forum:	General Assembly 1
	Issue: Regulating the development and deployment of anti-satellite (ASAT) weapons to safeguard global security and satellite infrastructure
Student Officer:	Rudra Sinha
Position:	President of the General Assembly

LMUNA
Lorentz Lyceum
Model United Nations
Arnhem

LmunA 2025

Introduction

The 21st century has turned outer space into something more than a region of scientific exploration. It has evolved as a central part of the world's infrastructure. Satellites currently serve numerous purposes, ranging from but not limited to communication, navigation, weather forecasting, disaster relief, climatology and intelligence collection. They do more than this; they also link billions of human beings, energise global commerce and source the security systems of most states. But this satellite reliance has made them a prime target when hostilities erupt. Anti-satellite (ASAT) weapons are intended to destroy, damage or incapacitate satellites (European Space Agency). They represent one of the most challenging and nascent threats to international security as well as space sustainability. ASAT technologies vary widely by method and capability. They can be direct ascent kinetic interceptors, which are fired from Earth to hit a satellite physically, or can be co-orbital systems launched into space in order to sabotage or crash into a target, or another kind are directed energy weapons such as high-powered laser or radio frequency jamming. There are numerous others which are also being developed by technology (European Space Agency).

Although, to date, only a limited number of nations, among them the United States, Russia, China, and India, have successfully tested kinetic ASAT, other nations are creating less transparent, yet no less disruptive, non-kinetic technology (European Space Agency).

Destruction of even a single satellite by kinetic impact generates thousands of pieces of debris, many below trackability size but still large enough to destroy other space vehicles (Mitigating Space Debris Generation).
This waste can remain in orbit for decades, making it more likely to produce a cascading chain of collisions a phenomenon called the Kessler Syndrome.
Such a collision would threaten not just military and intelligence satellites but also vital civilian and commercial satellites that control global navigation, communication and even emergency services (Mitigating Space Debris Generation).

Despite the fact that space law has been in place since the 1967 Outer Space Treaty was adopted, there is no binding international system specifically banning or controlling ASAT weapons at present (United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs). All current treaties contain provisions and regulations, such as the prohibition against weapons of mass destruction in space, but these do not apply to ASAT systems. With increased geopolitical tensions and military doctrines, the focus on space as a potential battlefield is higher. The lack of regulations threatens to make outer space an uncertain region. Redressing ASAT weapons is not merely an issue of arms control, but

also maintaining safety, sustainability and common benefits of space for all of humanity (United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs).

Definitions of key terms

Definitions of key terms

Anti-Satellite (ASAT) Weapon

A system to destroy, disable, or destroy satellites in space. ASATs may be kinetic (physical contact) or non-kinetic (energy, jamming, or cyber-on-target).

Direct-Ascent ASAT

A ground-based missile or interceptor that directly ascends to destroy a satellite, generally one in low Earth orbit (LEO).

Co-Orbital ASAT

A space-based weapon system that enters orbit and comes close to disrupting a target satellite, possibly through collision, sabotage, or other disruptive means.

Directed Energy Weapon (DEW)

A non-kinetic ASAT system based on concentrated energy, like lasers or high-power microwaves, to disable or destroy satellites without physical impact.

Orbital Debris / Space Junk

Non-operational satellites, rocket stages that have been expanded, and debris from collisions or ASAT testing. They present collision hazards to operational space vehicles.

Kessler Syndrome

A hypothetical situation where the density of objects in orbit is so great that collisions produce cascading debris, rendering specific orbital areas unavailable for use.

Outer Space Treaty (OST)

The 1967 treaty that serves as the foundation of international space law. It prohibits the stationing of weapons of mass destruction in outer space but does not specifically outlaw ASAT weapons.

Peaceful Use of Outer Space

A principle of space law supporting the notion that space activities must be carried out to benefit all nations and in a non-aggressive manner.

General overview

Outer space has become an essential arena of activity for contemporary society. From facilitating GPS navigation and satellite television to enabling international banking systems and global disaster relief, satellites underpin the intangible infrastructure of the globalized world. Militarily, they offer secure communications, intelligence collection, reconnaissance, and missile launch early warning, capabilities that often are decisive both in peacetime deterrence and warfighting.

Satellites also enable humanitarian operations, monitor arms control treaties, and enable international agencies to coordinate responses during crises. As satellites have become more important for global activity, so too has their strategic importance, and they are therefore tempting targets in time of war.

The Rise of ASAT Weapons

Anti-satellite (ASAT) weapons first appeared during the Cold War, when both the United States and the former Soviet Union understood the strategic benefit of preventing an enemy from having access to space-based capabilities. Initial tests were experimental and crude, but they proved a fundamental point: satellites are not invincible (SSRN). Since then, ASAT technologies have come a long way, from direct-ascent kinetic interceptors, ground-launched missiles to physically destroy satellites, to more insidious non-kinetic techniques like jamming, spoofing, directed energy attacks, and cyber-attacks on satellite command systems. Dual-use systems, like satellite servicing spacecraft or missile defense interceptors, that could be repurposed as offensive tools, further eroding the distinction between civilian and military use, are also part of modern developments (SSRN).

Strategic Motivations

States pursue ASAT capabilities for several reasons:

1. Deterrence – Demonstrated capacity to incapacitate or destroy satellites may deter adversaries from pursuing space-based wars or acting provocatively with their space-based capabilities (U.S. Strategic Command).

2. Military Advantage – Destroying an enemy's reconnaissance, navigation, or communications satellites can significantly curtail their battlefield awareness, target accuracy, and coordination capabilities (U.S. Strategic Command).

3. Technological Prestige – A successful test of an ASAT proves high-tech capability, which can augment the strategic posture and diplomatic standing of a state (U.S. Strategic Command).

4. National Security Sovereignty – Some states view ASAT capabilities as a means to ensure that they are not overly reliant on vulnerable foreign-owned space infrastructure (U.S. Strategic Command).

Risks and Implications

Although ASAT weapons hold the promise of strategic benefits, their employment is fraught with risk. Kinetic ASAT attacks, in fact, create vast amounts of persistent debris. China's 2007 ASAT test on its Fengyun-1C weather satellite, for instance, created more than 3,000 trackable pieces of debris, many of which continue to orbit the Earth to this day, with the potential to carause collisions with satellites and crewed spacecraft (Arms Control Association). India's 2019

Mission Shakti test created at least 400 pieces of debris, which led NASA to worry about the potential threat to the International Space Station (Ministry of External Affairs).

Even non-kinetic weapons like cyberattacks or electronic jamming—can have unforeseen effects, especially interrupting service to civilian and neutral third parties. For example, a GPS jamming targeted at a localized military operation would inadvertently impact proximal civilian aircraft or maritime navigation.

The most dire long-term ASAT weapon scenario is the Kessler Syndrome, which was suggested in 1978 by NASA scientist Donald Kessler. In this scenario, low Earth orbit becomes so densely populated with objects that they crash into one another and produce additional debris, which causes even more collisions in a self-sustaining cascade (Russian ASAT Test Creates Massive Debris*)*. This would make whole orbital regimes unavailable for decades or centuries, threatening space exploration, satellite communications, and Earth observation capabilities.

Legal and Diplomatic Gaps

International space law is based on the 1967 Outer Space Treaty (OST), which declares that space will be the "province of all mankind" and prohibits the stationing of weapons of mass destruction in space (United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs). The OST does not mention conventional weapons in space or satellite destruction, though. The 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) Treaty indirectly restrained some ASAT development by limiting missile defense systems that could be used in space warfare, but the ABM Treaty has been terminated (United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs).

Initiatives like the UN General Assembly's PAROS resolution and the EU Code of Conduct for Outer Space Activities have tried to achieve space security norms agreement, but these are not legally binding and are opposed by the great powers. Some of the main differences are whether to concentrate on banning certain weapons, restricting certain activities, or dealing with space debris as the primary concern (United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs).

Current Geopolitical Dynamics

ASAT capability is extremely concentrated today in a few countries — most significantly the United States, Russia, China, and India — all of which have performed at least one publicly acknowledged ASAT test. Other countries, such as Japan, France, and Iran, are developing counterspace research and military space capacity, but are short of kinetic destruction (United Nations Digital Library). Rivalries between the space powers have become more intense with the overall geopolitical tensions, and outer space increasingly serving as a possible theater of strategic competition in addition to land, sea, air, and cyberspace (United Nations Digital Library).

Adding to the complexity is the fact that all space technologies have dual-use capability. Satellite servicing missions, for example, might be employed against hostile proximity operations. Missile

defense systems would be employed against satellites (United Nations Digital Library). This dual-use problem complicates verification and enforcement of any ASAT prohibition to an extreme degree. Other countries also contend that the lack of legally binding prohibitions gives them the sovereign prerogative to retain such capabilities as a national defense, as a posture of deterrence and not as an offensive one (United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs).

Why the Issue Matters Now

The interconnection of rising global dependence on satellites, the rapid militarization of space, and the absence of binding regulation makes it a perilous development. One ASAT incident—deliberate, accidental, or misidentified—would cause widespread disruption, increase geopolitical tensions, and set a bad precedent for future conflict. The international community's test is not only to keep space from being weaponized but to ensure that it is a safe, stable, and accessible environment for future generations (NASA).

Major parties involved

United States

The United States is one of the world's leading space powers, with hundreds of satellites controlled by the military, intelligence, and civilian authorities. It has been both victim and perpetrator of kinetic and non-kinetic ASAT capabilities, most notably in Operation Burnt Frost (2008), when a Standard Missile-3 destroyed a malfunctioning satellite (U.S. Space Command). Although the U.S. officially adheres to the vision of a "safe and sustainable" space environment, it has previously opposed legally binding limits on ASAT arms, citing difficulties of verification and the need for freedom of action in space as grounds for regarding them as needed. Washington prefers to adopt instead behavior-based norms and transparency measures, like the sharing of information on orbital activity, but not legally binding prohibitions on specific technologies (Space Situational Awareness).

Russia

Russia inherited useful ASAT assets from the Soviet Union, both in direct-ascent and co-orbital. Russia demonstrated a kinetic ASAT in November 2021 by destroying the Cosmos 1408 satellite, creating thousands of pieces of space debris (Russian ASAT Test Creates Massive Debris). Moscow frames its space policies as defensive, supporting the Prevention of an Arms Race in Outer Space (PAROS) initiative with China. However, it has been criticized by other countries for conducting debris-generating tests while pushing for arms control proposals. Russia supports a legally binding treaty banning the use of weapons in space, but weighted towards stopping orbital deployment rather than ground-based ASATs (Nuclear Threat Initiative).

China

China made its debut on the ASAT platform with its 2007 destruction of Fengyun-1C, producing the largest recorded debris cloud from a single test. It has since been reportedly developing kinetic and non-kinetic counterspace capability, including directed energy and cyber (Arms Control Association). Beijing co-sponsors with Russia the PPWT (Proposed Treaty on the Prevention of the Placement of Weapons in Outer Space), which aims to prohibit space-based weapons but not very much ground-based

ASATs. China prefers to posture as being open to space arms control but insists that restrictions must be reasonable and include U.S. missile defense systems in the bargain (Arms Control Association).

India

India joined the ASAT club with Mission Shakti (2019), a direct ascent test that destroyed a satellite in low Earth orbit. India framed the test as an exercise in defensive capability, pointing out that the target was so low in orbit that debris would re-enter quickly (Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India). New Delhi prefers responsible space behavior but is not a signatory to binding ASAT prohibitions but only to voluntary norms that preserve security options. India's space sector is expanding quickly, with enhanced emphasis on civilian as well as military uses (Carnegie Endowment for International Peace).

European Union (EU)

The EU collectively lacks independent kinetic ASAT capabilities but has significant space assets in the form of initiatives like Galileo and Copernicus (European External Action Service). It endorses the EU Code of Conduct for Outer Space Activities, a non-binding regime that promotes transparency, mitigation of space debris, and confidence-building. The EU has not promoted an ASAT weapons ban but actively urges stricter guidelines to limit debris-generating activities and terminate space militarization (European External Action Service).

Japan

Japan possesses one of the largest government and commercial satellite constellations in Asia and is extremely dependent on space infrastructure (National Defense University Press). Japan possesses no currently known kinetic ASAT capabilities but is in the design phase of space situational awareness (SSA) and possible counterspace capabilities for defensive purposes. Japan is a strong advocate of space weapons non-proliferation and is an outspoken participant in international space governance discourse (National Defense University Press).

Other States

- France – Maintains advanced satellite technology and has announced plans to develop active defense measures for its space assets (National Defense University Press).
- Iran – Developing space launch capabilities and suspected of researching counterspace technologies, though no ASAT test has been confirmed (National Defense University Press).
- North Korea – Limited but growing space launch capacity; potential interest in electronic or cyber-based counterspace capabilitiesm (National Defense University Press).
- Emerging Space Nations (e.g., Brazil, South Korea, UAE) – Mostly focus on civilian applications but have a vested interest in preventing debris creation and preserving access to space (National Defense University Press).

Non-State Actors and Commercial Stakeholders

Private industry players such as SpaceX, OneWeb, and Project Kuiper at Amazon currently have thousands of satellites on orbit, so they are indirect but important stakeholders. They promote good debris mitigation practice but are not generally put on military ASAT bans, but on protecting

commercial assets by virtue of enhanced tracking and collision avoidance (National Defense University Press).

Timeline of Key Events

1957 – Sputnik 1 Launch

The Soviet Union sends up the first artificial satellite, opening the Space Age and initiating U.S.–Soviet competition in space technologies (NASA Johnson Space Center).

1963 – First U.S. AST Test

The United States conducts a test of a modified Nike Zeus missile against a satellite target, showing nascent kinetic ASAT ability (NASA Johnson Space Center).

1967 – Outer Space Treaty (OST)

Treaty comes into force, barring the deployment of weapons of mass destruction to orbit but not confronting conventional ASAT arms (NASA Johnson Space Center).

1971 – First Soviet Co-Orbital ASAT Test

The Soviet Union demonstrates an ASAT system that uses a satellite to intercept another satellite in orbit (NASA Johnson Space Center).

1972 – Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) Treaty Signed

The U.S. and USSR sign an agreement to restrict missile defense systems, incidentally limiting some ASAT technology (NASA Johnson Space Center).

1985 – U.S. ASM-135 ASAT Test

One F-15 fighter aircraft fires a missile that destroys the Solwind P78-1 satellite, a significant demonstration of kinetic ASAT capability (NASA Johnson Space Center).

1990s – Post-Cold War Decline in ASAT Testing

The U.S. and Russia both curtail ASAT projects as tensions subside and worries about space junk become an issue (NASA Johnson Space Center).

2001 – U.S. Space Commission Report

Warns of a possibility of "Space Pearl Harbor," calling on the U.S. to invest in the development of technologies to protect its space assets (NASA Johnson Space Center).

2007 – China Fengyun-1C ASAT Test

China employs a direct-ascent missile to destroy a weather satellite, producing more than 3,000 trackable pieces of debris and eliciting international condemnation (NASA Johnson Space Center).

2008 – U.S. Operation Burnt Frost

The United States Navy employs a Standard Missile-3 to destroy a failed satellite, citing public safety due to toxic fuel aboard (NASA Johnson Space Center).

2013 – Alleged Russian Co-Orbital Tests Begin

Russia begins conducting maneuvers with small satellites suspected of having nascent counterspace capabilities (NASA Johnson Space Center).

2019 – India's Mission Shakti

India successfully tests its first direct-ascent ASAT, killing a satellite in low Earth orbit and becoming the fourth nation to do so (NASA Johnson Space Center).

2021 – Russian Cosmos 1408 Destruction

Russia uses a kinetic ASAT to destroy an inactive satellite, producing more than 1,500 pieces of trackable debris and putting the safety of the International Space Station at risk temporarily (NASA Johnson Space Center).

2022 – U.S. ASAT Testing Moratorium

United States declares that it will no longer perform destructive direct-ascent ASAT tests, urging others to do likewise (NASA Johnson Space Center).

2023 – United Nations Resolution on ASAT Testing

Most member nations of the United Nations pass a resolution discouraging destructive ASAT testing, although many of the most important space powers abstain from or vote against it (NASA Johnson Space Center).

Previous attempts to solve the issue

Efforts to regulate or limit anti-satellite (ASAT) weapons have spanned decades, but most have faced significant political, technical, or enforcement challenges. While some agreements have indirectly constrained ASAT development, no binding international treaty currently prohibits their use.

Outer Space Treaty (1967)

The foundation of global space law, the OST makes space the "province of all mankind" and bans the deployment of nuclear arms or other weapons of mass destruction into orbit. But it does

not regulate conventional or non-kinetic ASAT arms, creating a legal void that nations have taken advantage of (United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs).

Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) Treaty (1972–2002)

Signed into effect by the Soviet Union and the United States, the ABM Treaty constrained missile defense systems with ASAT potential. Its withdrawal in 2002 eliminated a significant indirect limitation on the development of ASAT (Lieber Institute for Law and Land Warfare).

Prevention of an Arms Race in Outer Space (PAROS)

Presented to the UN General Assembly in the early 1980s, PAROS is an annual resolution focusing on the prevention of the weaponization of outer space. Although it commands broad support on a theoretical level, it is non-binding and opposed by some of the major powers, including the U.S., which maintains that there are already enough treaties (United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs).

Proposed Treaty on the Prevention of the Placement of Weapons in Outer Space (PPWT)

The European Union tabled a voluntary code of conduct based on transparency, space debris mitigation, and good behavior in space. Though backed by several nations, it was unable to gain universal acceptance, partly because it was accused of being drafted without complete UN negotiation and without legal enforceability (European External Action Service).

EU Code of Conduct for Outer Space Activities (2010 draft)

The European Union proposed a voluntary code of conduct focusing on transparency, debris mitigation, and responsible behavior in space. While supported by several states, it failed to achieve universal adoption, in part due to concerns that it was developed without full UN negotiation and lacked legal enforceability (Council on Foreign Relations).

ASAT Test Moratorium Initiatives

After Russia's 2021 destructive test, international calls for banning debris-generating ASAT tests increased. In April 2022, the United States was the first country to declare a unilateral moratorium on such tests. Various countries, such as Canada, Japan, Germany, and the UK, have since followed suit (Council on Foreign Relations).

United Nations Resolution on Debris-Generating ASAT Tests (2023)

In November 2023, the UN General Assembly adopted a resolution that discouraged destructive direct-ascent ASAT testing. The resolution was adopted with wide endorsement but significant opposition or absence from major ASAT-capable nations, which mirrors the continuing divergence in global consensus (Outer Space Institute).

Evaluation of Efforts

Though such efforts reflect persistent concern regarding ASAT weapons, they identify a pattern that keeps recurring:

· Binding treaties are met with resistance because of verification difficulties and strategic distrust.

· Spontaneous measures gain partial acceptance but fail to secure universal participation.

· Broad resolutions heighten awareness but rarely result in tangible, enforceable commitments.

The persistence of such a regulatory gap reflects the challenge of reconciling national security interests with the common requirement to maintain the safety and sustainability of outer space (Council on Foreign Relations).

Possible solutions

Considering the strategic importance of space assets and the disastrous implications of debris-generating incidents, solving the ASAT challenge calls for a multi-faceted approach integrating legal, technical, and confidence-building elements (Outer Space Institute). Any successful system has to reconcile security interests, feasibility of verification, and widespread international acceptance.

1. Establishing a Binding Ban on Debris-Generating ASAT Tests (The Aerospace Corporation)

· Negotiate an enforceable treaty banning kinetic ASAT tests generating long-lived debris, along the lines of the 2023 UN resolution but with the addition of legal enforcement.

· Specify a clear technical definition of "destructive ASAT testing" and verifiable verification criteria.

· Use satellite-based monitoring systems to monitor potential violations, potentially under the UN Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA).

2. Expanding Transparency and Confidence-Building Measures (TCBMs) (Secure World Foundation)

· Require pre-launch notice for missile or interceptor tests that might be seen as ASAT-related, consistent with current nuclear arms notification arrangements.

· Establish an international ASAT test registry, available to the public, to minimize misperception and the danger of escalation.

· Foster cooperative space situational awareness (SSA) exercises between spacefaring nations to create trust.

3. Strengthening Existing Space Law Frameworks (The Aerospace Corporation)

· Reformulate the Outer Space Treaty or prepare an addendum protocol to specifically address the use of force against satellites.

· Incorporate non-kinetic ASAT activities—like jamming, dazzling, and cyber penetrations—into the legal discourse to ensure that treaties' scope is not confined to missiles.

4. Promoting National Policy Commitments (Secure World Foundation)

· Urge unilateral moratoria on ASAT tests producing space debris, constructing a "coalition of the willing" until a treaty is attained.

· Incorporate ASAT restraint into national space policy and defense planning with domestic political oversight.

5. Encouraging Dual-Use Technology Safeguards (The Aerospace Corporation)

· Establish standards and certification for dual-use spacecraft (e.g., servicing satellites) to bar them from being adapted into co-orbital ASAT weapons.

· Mandate that satellite servicing or debris removal missions be declared internationally to preclude suspicion.

6. Regional Security Dialogues on Space (Secure World Foundation)

· Apply regional platforms (e.g., ASEAN, African Union, European Space Agency frameworks) to establish region-specific norms and crisis-communication mechanisms for space incidents.

· Connect ASAT restraint to more general arms control negotiations to bring space security into mainstream disarmament agendas.

7. Incentivizing Compliance Through Benefits (The Aerospace Corporation)

· Extend technical support and space launch collaborations to states pledging ASAT restraint.

· Provide data-sharing agreements (e.g., weather, disaster monitoring) to nations that embrace and enact ASAT-associated transparency policies.

Implementation Considerations

Though full obliteration of ASAT capability in the near future is improbable because of the dual use of space technology, incremental limits, especially on most destabilizing and debris-generating practices—are politically feasible. Connecting ASAT regulation to more comprehensive cooperation in space exploration, disaster management, and commercial space endeavors has the potential to make restraint a mutually beneficial option instead of unilateral concession (Secure World Foundation).

Further reading

United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA) – Space Law Treaties and Principles
An overview of all major space treaties, including the Outer Space Treaty, with links to full texts and ratification status.
· Secure World Foundation – Counterspace Capabilities: An Open Source Assessment (2024 Edition)

Annual report detailing global counterspace (including ASAT) developments, with country-by-country breakdowns.
· Union of Concerned Scientists – Satellite Database

Publicly accessible database of operational satellites, useful for understanding the scope of potential ASAT targets.
· U.S. Space Command – Space Domain Awareness Fact Sheet

Official documentation on how the U.S. tracks space objects and debris, relevant for verification discussions.

· European Space Agency (ESA) – Space Debris Office Reports
Technical reports on debris risks, mitigation guidelines, and long-term sustainability strategies.
· The Outer Space Institute – Policy Briefs

Academic and policy-focused papers on preventing space weaponization and regulating destructive testing.

Bibliography

- Arms Control Association. *Chinese Satellite Destruction Stirs Debate*. March 2007.
- *Russian ASAT Test Creates Massive Debris*. December 2021.
- *Seven Countries Join ASAT Test Ban*. November 2022.
- Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. *India's ASAT Test: An Incomplete Success*. April 2019.
- Council on Foreign Relations. *A Code of Conduct for Outer Space*. CFR Report.
- European External Action Service. *Draft International Code of Conduct for Outer Space Activities*. 31 Mar. 2014.
- European Space Agency. *About Space Debris*. ESA, 2023.
- *Mitigating Space Debris Generation*. ESA, 2023.
- Ministry of External Affairs, Government of India. *FAQ on Mission Shakti*. 27 Mar. 2019.
- NASA. *Identification and Tracking Systems*. SmallSat Institute, NASA.
- NASA Johnson Space Center. *Characteristics and Consequences of the Break-up of Fengyun-1C*. NASA Technical Report 2007.
- NASA Technical Reports Server. *Observations of Small Debris from the Cosmos 1408 ASAT Test*. NTRS, 2022.
- Nuclear Threat Initiative. *Proposed Prevention of an Arms Race in Outer Space (PAROS) Treaty*. NTI Database.
- Secure World Foundation. *Indian Direct-Ascent ASAT Testing*. July 2023.
- The Aerospace Corporation. *Space Debris 101*. Aerospace.org, 2022.
- *Space Situational Awareness (SSA)*. Aerospace.org, 2022.
- United Nations Digital Library. *Prevention of an Arms Race in Outer Space: GA Resolution 75/36*. UN, 2020.
- United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs. *The Outer Space Treaty*. UNOOSA.
- U.S. Space Command. *Russian Direct-Ascent Anti-Satellite Missile Test Creates Significant Long-Lasting Debris*. 2021.
- U.S. Strategic Command. *Joint Effort Made Satellite Success Possible*. STRATCOM, 2016.
- Foreign Policy. *Russia and China Seek to Tie America's Hands With Space Treaty*. March 2021.
- Lieber Institute for Law and Land Warfare. *Russia's ASAT Test and the Development of Space Law*. West Point, 2021.
- National Defense University Press. *The PPWT and Ongoing Challenges to Arms Control in Space*. NDU Press, 2024.

LmunA 2025

- Outer Space Institute. *Kinetic ASAT Test Ban Treaty – International Open Letter*. OSI, 2022.
- SSRN. *The Rise of Anti-Satellite Weapons*. SSRN Working Paper, 2024.